

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/509,867

Applicant(s)

TAKANO ET AL.

Examiner

CHRISTOPHER M. BRANDT

Art Unit

2617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHRISTOPHER M. BRANDT.(3) ERIC BARR (Reg. No. 60,150).(2) RAFAEL PEREZ-GUTIERREZ.(4) J. WARREN LYTLE JR. (Reg. No. 39,283).

Date of Interview: 16 September 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 70.

Identification of prior art discussed: Chang et al. (US Patent 7,010,318 B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Barr and Mr. Lytle discussed the differences between the Chang reference and the claims as currently written. Examiner Brandt and SPE Perez-Gutierrez agreed that it appears that Chang does not disclose the argued feature.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Christopher M Brandt/
Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Rafael Perez-Gutierrez/ SPE